

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/523,853 03/13/2000	Hadi Partovi	22379-710	6249
7590 02/03/2003			
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP		EXAMINER	
TWO NORTH MARKET STREET THIRD FLOOR		NGUYEN, QUANG N	
SAN JOSE, CA 95113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2141	
		DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		\mathcal{A}		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/523,853	PARTOVI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAU INC DATE of this communication of	Quang N. Nguyen	2141		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ippears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lute, cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. & 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 November 2002 .			
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	·			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the praphication from the International E* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has been red	ceived.		
Attachment(s)	p, andoi 00 0.0.0. 33 120	- wild/OI 12.1,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 15		

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13 are presented for examination. Claim 2 has been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shaffer et al. (US 6,240,170), herein after referred as Shaffer.

Art Unit: 2141

4. As to claim 1, Shaffer teaches a method and apparatus for selecting a language (i.e., a voice character or a dialect) to communicate with a user of a voice mail or computer system comprising:

selecting at least one voice character setting based on the telephone identifying information (Shaffer, steps 100-104 of Fig. 2); and

presenting information according to the at least one voice character setting over the telephone interface using the first computer (Shaffer, C2: L36-61 and C3: L1-64).

5. As to claims 2-4, Shaffer teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the telephone identifying information is used to identify a locale having a corresponding dialect in the set of dialects, the telephone identifying information is associated with a preferred dialect in the set of dialects and the voice character comprises the corresponding dialect selected from a set of dialects (Shaffer, C3: L48-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2141

7. Claims 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaffer, in view of Wise et al. (US 5,884,262), herein after referred as Wise.

8. As to claims 5-7, Shaffer teaches the method of claim 1, but does not explicitly teach the voice character comprises one of a male voice and a female voice, a high volume setting and a lower speech pattern.

In the related art, Wise teaches a method and a computer network audio access and conversion system allowing a user to access information via a simple telephone wherein the method comprising: presenting information in different voice characters (low male, female, contrasting voices, etc.) depending on the content of the presented information over the telephone interface (see Wise, C2: L18-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify and combine the teachings of Shaffer and Wise to include male voice, female voice, high volume setting, etc, in the voice character to present information over the telephone interface because it would enhance the ability of voice processing systems to allow users to interact with electronic communication systems in a preferred voice character according to the users location or identification.

9. As to claims 8, 11 and 13 Shaffer-Wise teaches a computer system supporting user personalized profiles using a telephone identifying information comprising:

Art Unit: 2141

a database including personalization profiles for a plurality of users, each profile defining preferences, personalizing a corresponding user's interactions with the computer system, and indicating a voice character (Wise, memory 330 of Fig. 3, C8: L65-67, and C9: L1-26);

a server supporting the Internet interface, the server allowing access to, and modification of, the personalization profiles by the corresponding users (Wise, C8: L44-54 and Shaffer, C4: L19-23);

a telephone interface subsystem supporting the telephone interface to receive the telephone identifying information (Wise, call manager 210 of Fig.2 and Shaffer, telephone interface 54 and ANI decoder 56 of Fig. 1, C3: L33-67 and C4: L1-5).

- 10. As to claim 9, Shaffer-Wise teaches the computer system of claim 8, wherein if the voice character for a user is system default, using a locale corresponding to the telephone identifying information to select a voice character (Shaffer, C3: L1-67 and C4: L1-5).
- 11. As to claim 10, Shaffer-Wise teaches the computer system of claim 8, wherein the telephone identifying information includes caller number identifications (CIDs), wherein the CIDs are used by the first program code to perform matching of calls to personalization profiles (Wise, C3: L9-18 and Shaffer, C3: L65-67 and C4: L1-5).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/523,853

Art Unit: 2141

- 12. As to claim 12, Shaffer-Wise teaches a computer system as in claim 8, wherein the telephone interface subsystem includes a call manager supporting multiple simultaneous telephone calls over the telephone interface (Wise, call manager 210 of Fig. 2, C5: L45-65, C6: L14-30 and C8: L37-43).
- 13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 14. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892, which is an attachment to this office action.

÷

Art Unit: 2141

Page 7

15. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE (3) months from the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.134.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's primary, Le H. Luu, can be reached at (703) 305-9650. The fax phone numbers for the organization is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Quang N. Nguyen

LE HIEN LUU PRIMASY EXAMINER